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ABSTRACT

The paper gives picture of enrichment to economic and financial system analysis using agent-based models as a form of advanced study for financial economic post-statistical-data and micro-simulation analysis. The paper reports the construction of artificial stock market that emerges the similar statistical facts with real data in Indonesian stock market. We use the individual but dominant data, i.e.: PT TELKOM in hourly interval. The artificial stock market shows standard statistical facts, e.g.: volatility clustering, the excess kurtosis of the distribution of return, and the scaling properties with its breakdown in the crossover of Levy distribution to the Gaussian one. From this point, the artificial stock market will always be evaluated in order to have comprehension about market process in Indonesian stock market generally.
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Stock market has been widely recognized as a complex system with many interacting agents involved in the price formation. Furthermore, the recent development of computational technology forges analysis from any disciplines to use agent-based models as one of analytical tools for giving better understanding of social systems, in this case, financial systems. There have been some previous and important milestones in this endeavor, e.g.: some platforms of agent-based models (Farmer, 2001), the minority model (Challet et al. 1999), the Santa Fe model (LeBaron 2002), and the gate to the computational economics (Tesfatsion, 2002).

The paper presented here can be seen as a further advancement of agent-based model constructed in Situngkir & Surya (2004) to compare the price fluctuation produced by artificial market with the real data in Indonesian stock market (i.e.: hourly data January 2002 – September 2003 of individual index PT TELKOM). We see how the artificial stock market gives the similar price formation characters with the real data analyzed in Situngkir and Surya (2003a), i.e.:

- the volatility clustering
- the leptokurtic distribution, and
- the scaling properties.

How to explain things in macro-level in the situation of micro-simulation is the main motivation of using agent-based analysis in social sciences, including financial economy (Axtell 2000). Several common examples on the use of agent-based models in financial economy analysis (be it stock market or foreign exchange market) are Bak, et al. (1996), Takahashi & Terano (2003), Zimmeman, Neuneier, & Grohman (2001), King, Streitchenko, & Yesha, (2003), while there is also endeavor to pronouncing macro-economics in agent-based language (Bruun 1999), and investor’s behavior (Farmer 2001).

Fig. 1. The position of agent-based modeling as an analytical bridge of statistical properties of financial data with micro-simulation properties that causing it.

The paper aims to give illustrations of agent-based models that have been frequently utilized in finance, continued by endeavor to constructing primitive model of financial economic system with some needed adaptation upon stock market in Indonesia.

1. Model Overview

The stock market is composed by heterogeneous interacting agents. In this sense, we can see that the price formation in the stock market is emerged by the heterogeneous strategies of investors or financial agents. Our artificial stock market is inspired by the formation of agents described in Castiglione (2001) and
price-formation of market-making model (Farmer 2001; elaborated also in Cont & Bouchaud 2000), where there are about five types of agent, i.e.:

- **Fundamentalist strategy**, a strategy that always has tendency to hold a price at a certain value. Means it will sell if the price is higher than its fundamental value and vice versa buy for price lower than its fundamental value. In the running simulation, the change of fundamental value is randomized in certain interval or given externally.

- **Noisy strategy.** Choosing transaction actions of selling randomly with probability 0.5 but only buy if she feels save to sell, i.e.: find 2 other agents randomly that also sell.

- **Chartist strategy**, known as strategy for those who monitor market trend for certain history referred horizon – this method also known as moving average (MA). Agent sells if MA value:

\[
\overline{m}_t(h) = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{t'=t-h}^{t-1} p_{t'}
\]

computed with \( h \) time horizon is larger than the price:

\[
p_t^+ (\delta) = p_t + p_t \delta
\]

where \( \delta = (0,1) \) as input parameter. They will sell if the value of MA parameter is below the price:

\[
p_t^- (\delta) = p_t - p_t \delta.
\]

In the simulation, we have three types of this strategy differed by the horizon they use, i.e.: 30, 60, and 100 previous data.

Each agent occupies some agent-properties, i.e.:

- **Choices of sell, in-active, or sell**, represented as \( x_t^{(i)} \in \{-1,0,1\} \)
- **Stock or capital that will be invested in stock market** represented as \( c_t^{(i)} \)
- **Number of stocks that become investment in stock market** \( n_t^{(i)} \). So that, in each iteration, the total asset of each agent:

\[
k_t^{(i)} = n_t^{(i)} p_t + c_t^{(i)}
\]

- **Influence strength**: the agent’s influence towards other agents on their decision to buy, hold, or sell, \( r_t^{(i)} \in [0,1] \), \( r_t^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R} \).

As previously noted, every agent is allowed to buy or sell only one stock in every round. Agents are not allowed to do short-selling, since the sell or buy decisions must consider whether or not agent can afford with the transaction. An agent is forbidden to sell if she does not have any stock to sell, and in the other hand, agent cannot buy if she does not have enough money to do so.

The decision to sell, hold, or buy also consider the climate of the market, i.e.: the accumulated influence strength of all of the agents. Each agent affects and is affected by her surroundings on a variable of influence strength, say \( s_t^{(i)} \). Each decision, \( x_t^{(i)} \in \{-1,0,1\} \), is determined by agent’s strategy - we normalize the value in the interval between \(-1,0,1\). Therefore it can be seen as probability, i.e.:

\[
P[x_t^{(i)} = x] = \frac{\sum_j s_t^{(j)} }{ \sum_j s_t^{(j)} } \quad \text{(3)}
\]

with total possibility follows:

\[
\sum_x P[x_t^{(i)} = x] = 1 \quad \text{(4)}
\]
The price emerged by the agent’s interactions is calculated by the excess demand in each round, i.e.:

$$\Delta p = p(t+1) - p(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \sum_{i} x_i^{(i)} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\lambda$ is the market depth or liquidity, the excess demand needed to move the price by one unit. The market depth measures the sensitivity of price to fluctuations in excess demand (Cont & Bouchaud, 2000).

As a summary of the model overview, we can see Table 1 showing the value of variables used in simulations.

**Table 1. Initial Simulation Configuration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of iteration</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of agent (investor)</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation fundamentalist-chartist-noisy</td>
<td>42-109-49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chartist (h=30) – (h=60) – (h=100)</td>
<td>46-33-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock owned by each agent</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money owned by each agent</td>
<td>IDR 20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Depth (1/$\lambda$)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic price each stock</td>
<td>IDR 5,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Simulation Results

We do several simulations in our artificial stock market in order to have some understanding points of what we discover in previous work on statistical properties of Indonesia stock market (Situngkir & Surya, 2003a; Hariadi & Surya 2003). A pattern we want to analyze is the fact of volatility clustering, in which large changes tend to follow large changes, and small changes tend to follow small changes.

The volatility clustering has been widely known as an important and interesting property of the financial time-series data. The cause of this property is certainly the interaction of between the heterogeneous agents; in our case: the fundamentalists, the chartists, and the noise traders. The decisions of any strategies will be different in the sense of expectations about future prices. Other important feature of our simulation is the boundedness of each agents one another on their final decisions; as noted above we apply the influence strength of any decisions (buy, hold, or sell) as the climate of the market. Henceforth, in certain time, a climate to sell, hold, or buy among agents becomes the trigger for the volatility clustering.

In advance, the volatility clustering has understood also impacts to the distribution of the financial data. The distribution of the price fluctuations (return) is less Gaussian with fat tails (leptokurtic) fitted with the truncated Levy distribution (Mantegna. & Stanley 2000:60-67; Surya, et.al. 2004) i.e.:

$$-l \leq x \leq l \quad p(x) = \begin{cases} \xi \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,0}(x) & \text{otherwise} \\ 0 & \end{cases}$$

(6)

where $\xi$ denotes the normalizing constant, $l$ the truncation parameter, and $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,0}$ the Levy distribution (whose coefficient $\alpha$ and $\beta = 0$). This is the form of distribution with finite variance and considering the Central Limit Theorem, which states that the sum of independent samples from any distribution with finite mean and variance converges to the Gaussian distribution as the sample size goes to infinity.

Figure 5 shows the distribution of the simulated return compared with the real data. The distribution of the return is leptokurtic, with fatter tail than Gaussian distribution.
Fig. 4. The return of simulated price fluctuations compared with the real data. The simulated data as the real one, exhibits similar pattern of volatility clustering.

Fig. 5. The probability density function of the simulated price fluctuation (return) compared to the real data showing the fat tail characteristics.

Thus, we can see that the distribution of return of our simulated data also follows the Central Limit Theorem by fitting with the truncated Levy distribution. Let \( |X_t| \) denotes the return of some financial data, the distribution of \( |X_t| \) is estimated on the truncated Levy distribution and defined as \( S_t := X_t + X_{t-1} + \ldots + X_{t-dt} \) and \( Z_{dt}(t) := S_t - S_{t-dt} = X_t + X_{t-1} + \ldots + X_{t-dt} \). Thus, according to the Central Limit Theorem, \( Z_{dt} \) will converge to a certain value of \( dt \), say \( dt = dt_x \) in which we have two distribution limit, i.e.: Levy and Gauss distribution. Mathematically,

\[
p(S_{dt}) \approx \begin{cases} L_{\alpha,0}(S_{dt}), & dt \ll dt_x \\ G(S_{dt}), & dt \gg dt_x \end{cases}
\]  

(7)
where $G$ is the Gaussian Distribution, and the value of $dt$ presented as a parameter of the “distance” between the distribution of financial data to the normal distribution (Surya, et.al, 2004:72-74).

**Fig. 6.** The probability of return to the origin of the simulated data shows the crossover from the Levy regime to the Gaussian regime as the consequence of the Central Limit Theorem.

Furthermore, this brings us to another important feature of empirical financial time series data, the scaling properties and its breakdown (Mantegna & Stanley 2000). Roughly, as long as the distribution of return in the Levy regime, the data will have the scaling properties – but the scaling is breakdown when the crossover emerges on certain time-interval.

Thus, we have showed how the data of our artificial stock market has similar statistical properties with the real one, while the next step is finding important explanation of our stock market by comprehension on our structure of artificial stock market.

### 3. Discussions

We have constructed the artificial stock market that emerges the similar statistical facts with the real one for a certain individual but dominant index in Jakarta Stock Exchange, PT TELKOM. We have seen the volatility clustering and leptokurtic distribution of return of our simulation. The symptom of volatility clustering is seen as positive autocorrelation function and declining to reach zero. If the data shown in time series of $y_i$ with $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, thus the autocorrelation coefficient can be written as:

$$r_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-k}(y_{i+k} - \bar{y}_k)(y_i - \bar{y}_i)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \bar{y}_i)^2 \times \sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_{i+k} - \bar{y}_{i+k})^2}} \tag{8}$$
where \( r_k \) is the autocorrelation of \( y_i \) and \( y_{i+k} \). Autocorrelation of several samples of data forming distribution of around \( k \) is commonly called sampling distribution autocorrelation. In Figure 7, we can find out that autocorrelation function of the real and simulated data presenting a similarity.

As noted above, we recognize that the volatility clustering is caused by the interaction among heterogeneous agents. The heterogeneity of our agents in the simulations is showed in table 1. It is obvious that the majority of agents’ decisions depend on the trend of the price fluctuation, i.e.: the chartist. We can say (roughly) that most of the traders on PT TELKOM stocks follow the trend of the price fluctuation rather than try to keep the track of such fundamental values. However, further empirical researches on traders’ strategies are important to verify this claim.

Other important note we can have as the result of the simulation is that the traders in Jakarta Stock Exchange are truly bounded by the climate of the market, since the tuning on the variable effect very sharply on the comparison to the real data. Once we give certain probability whether or not to follow the market climate, the simulated data become unrealistic. The simulation resulting figure 3 use the assumption that the traders are fully follow the market climate.

![Fig. 7. The sample autocorrelation function of the simulated data and the real one.](image)

The data we use as comparison is the hourly individual index, henceforth it is important to proceed the model, e.g.: raise the heterogeneity of the agent’s strategies or incorporating the price mechanism of the continuous market in which traders proposed the price and the stocks to be traded. This will be left in further research.

4. Concluding Remarks

We report the artificial stock market that emerges the similar statistical facts with real data. The data we use is the individual but dominant index, i.e.: hourly data of PT TELKOM in the time interval January 2002 up to September 2003. The artificial stock market shows standard statistical facts, e.g.: volatility clustering, the excess kurtosis of the distribution of return, and the scaling properties with its breakdown in the crossover of Levy distribution to the Gaussian one.
The advantage we can have by the simulation is the understanding of the interaction among traders and their composition of strategies in the Jakarta Stock Exchange. Practically, this can bring us a nice intuitive tool on comprehend the market mechanism in the stock market. Nonetheless, it should need much more further work, especially empirical one, in order to bring us more understanding of the market, e.g.:

- Spatial techniques utilization and social network, hence there will be a visualization of accumulating capital from interaction of each agent.
- Adding “intelligence” to each agent so that each agent has evolutionary ability in changing techniques and strategies used in her decision-making.
- Price enumeration as the result of direct interaction between stock sellers and buyers so they can approach reality of the stock system that will be modeled and explained its various macro-quantitative factors.
- Simulation that involves several stocks or other secondary products in the market so that it can simulate stock exchange indexes.

With these developments, it is hoped that we can have better agent-based model that able to be utilized as an alternative tool for investment and to cope with our enthusiasm for better understanding of the stock market in general.
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